

## NOTICE OF SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING

In accordance with Section 5.4 (a)(i) of the *Local Government Act 1995*, I advise that we will be holding a Special Council Meeting on **Wednesday 4 October 2023** at **1:00**pm at the **Jurien Bay** Council Chambers.

The purpose of the meeting is for Council to consider public submissions associated with the proposed disposal (lease) of property being the Cervantes Country Club which was advertised for public submissions. Council will determine whether or not to lease the facility at this meeting.

**Cr Leslee Holmes** 

Leolge

**PRESIDENT** 

Shire of Dandaragan

PO Box 676

JURIEN BAY WA 6516

2 October 2023



## NOTICE OF A SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING

Dear Council Member,

Please be advised that a Special Council Meeting of the Shire of Dandaragan will be held on **Wednesday 4 October 2023** at the **Council Chambers, Jurien Bay** commencing at **1:00pm**.

The purpose of the meeting is for Council to consider public submissions associated with the proposed disposal (lease) of property being the Cervantes Country Club which was advertised for public submissions. Council will determine whether or not to lease the facility at this meeting.

Please be advised that your copy of the agenda and business papers for the meeting are attached for your perusal.

Brent Bailey
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

2 October 2023



# SHIRE of DANDARAGAN

**AGENDA AND BUSINESS PAPERS** 

for the

**SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING** 

to be held at the

**COUNCIL CHAMBERS, JURIEN BAY** 

on

**WEDNESDAY 4 OCTOBER 2023** 

**COMMENCING AT 1:00PM** 

(THIS DOCUMENT IS AVAILABLE IN LARGER PRINT ON REQUEST)

#### 1 DECLARATION OF OPENING / ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

#### 1.1 DECLARATION OF OPENING

#### 1.2 DISCLAIMER READING

"No responsibility whatsoever is implied or accepted by the Shire of Dandaragan for any act, omission or statement or intimation occurring during this meeting.

It is strongly advised that persons do not act on what is heard at this meeting and should only rely on written confirmation of Council's decision, which will be provided within fourteen (14) days of this meeting."

# 2 RECORD OF ATTENDANCE / APOLOGIES / APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE

#### **Members**

Councillor L Holmes Councillor P Scharf Councillor J Clarke Councillor R Glasfurd Councillor M McDonald Councillor R Rybarczyk Councillor R Shanhun (President)
(Deputy President)

#### Staff

Mr B Bailey Mr B Pepper Mr L Fouché Mrs N Winsloe (Chief Executive Officer) (Executive Manager Infrastructure) (Executive Manager Development Services) (Executive Secretary)

#### **Apologies**

Councillor W Gibson

**Approved Leave of Absence** 

#### 3 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

#### 4 PURPOSE OF THE MEETING

The purpose of the meeting is for Council to consider public submissions associated with the proposed disposal (lease) of property being the Cervantes Country Club which was advertised for public submissions. Council will determine whether or not to lease the facility at this meeting.

#### 5 ORDER OF BUSINESS

5.1

## 5.1.1 CERVANTES COUNTRY CLUB FACILITY - INTENT TO DISPOSE BY WAY OF LEASE

Location: Shire of Dandaragan
Applicant: Not Applicable
Folder Path: SODR-1739978813-306

Disclosure of Interest: Ni

Date: 2 October 2023

Author: Brent Bailey, Chief Executive Officer

Senior Officer: Not Applicable

#### **PROPOSAL**

The purpose of this item is for Council to consider public submissions associated with the proposed disposal (lease) of property being the Cervantes Country Club which was advertised for public submissions. It is recommended that Council proceed with the lease, authorise the CEO to finalise lease negotiations and request the Minster for Lands to expand the current purpose of the reserve.

#### BACKGROUND

The Shire of Dandaragan is responding to a request from the Cervantes Country Club Inc. to appoint a new lessee / facility manager for the Cervantes Country Club premises. The Club Management Committee has determined that they are no longer the best entity to be running the business which has achieved marginal viability over recent years, they propose to surrender their lease if an appropriate entity is forthcoming.

The Cervantes Country Club was constructed by the community of Cervantes and opened in November 1974. The building has been renovated over the ensuing years to its current state which accommodates a range of amenities tailored to servicing the sporting and leisure customers of the surrounding facilities. The facility has been operating with a Tavern License since 2017 and is an integral part of the social fabric of the community of Cervantes.

At a Special Council Meeting on 17 July 2023 Council endorsed an Expression of Interest from "The Club Cervantes Pty Ltd" as the highest and only submission. Council also authorised the CEO to negotiate a draft lease document with the preferred respondent for Council consideration and authorised the CEO to advertise the proposal in accordance with Section 3.58(3) of the Local Government Act 1995

#### COMMENT

Public advertising was carried out in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.58(3) of the Local Government Act 1995 with notices placed in local papers, the Midwest Times, the Shire's website, noticeboards and social media pages. The closing date for submissions was 11 August 2023. At the time of writing this agenda report there were 52 submissions received which have been summarised in the table below. From a local community member perspective there appears to be broad support for the proposal, however the owners and relatives of the Cervantes Bar

and Bistro have raised concerns in their objections about the impact that a change in business model at the Country Club may have on their own operation's viability.

A Krieger  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  D Newing  D Newing  D Newing  T Monk  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Jasha  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  M Scott  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds or community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford  A Hulford  A Hulford  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Su	Respondent	Summary	Supported / Opposed to Proposal
the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  D Newing Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  T Monk Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  M Scott Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock Submission supports the proposal on the grounds or community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Lecras Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Lubmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Lubmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Lubmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Lubmission supports th	A Krieger	Submission supports the proposal on	<u> </u>
favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  D Newing  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Jasha  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  J Jasha  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  M Scott  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds or community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S K Brennan  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S K Malums  T Khan  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini  J Mancini  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favou			
D Newing  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Jasha  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  M Scott  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  M Scott  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds or community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Womission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Womission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Womission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  M Burns  S Womission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras  S Womission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson  J Thompson  A Hulford  A Hulford  S Womission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Womission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Womission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Womission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Womission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Womission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit			
the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Maha Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  J Jasha Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  M Scott Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock Submission supports the proposal on the grounds o: community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Woncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  T Khan Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Womission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L Lecras Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L L Lecras Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L Minission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Winnission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Winnission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Winnission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Winnission supports the proposal on the grounds		operating the facility.	
favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Jasha Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  M Scott Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock Submission supports the proposal on the grounds o: community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  T Khan Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  M Burns Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating th	D Newing	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
T Monk Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  J Jasha Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  M Scott Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock Submission supports the proposal on the grounds or community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S K Brennan Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  T K han Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L bumission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L bumission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L L Lecras Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the ground		the grounds of community benefit,	
T Monk Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock Submission supports the proposal on the grounds or community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S K Brennan Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L bubmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L bubmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L bubmission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L bubmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L bubmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L bubmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L bubmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L bubmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L bubmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L bubmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L			
the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  M Scott  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds or community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Brennan  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Lobmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  T Khan  S Lobmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  M Burns  S Lobmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras  S Lobmission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson  J Thompson  A Hulford  S Lobmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Lobmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Lobmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Lobmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Lobmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Lobmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Lobmission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Lobmission supports th			
Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  M Scott Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock Submission supports the proposal on the grounds or community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Brennan Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  T Khan Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.	T Monk		Supported
J Jasha Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of Community and visitor benefit. Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility. S Whitlock Submission supports the proposal on the grounds or community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility. S K Brennan Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility. S Koncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility. T Khan Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  M Bums Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit.  G Wilson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.			
the grounds of Community and visitor benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat  S L Kana  S L S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S L Kana  S L S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S L Kana  S L S L S L S L S L S L S L S L S L S	1.1		0
benefit. Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock S Whitlock S Submission supports the proposal on the grounds or community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Brennan Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock S Whitl	J Jasna		Supported
Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  T Khan Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  T Khan Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson  A Hulford A Hulford Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial e			
the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds o: community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  T Khan  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson  J Thompson  A Hulford  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.	M Soott		Supported
favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Whitlock  S Whitlock  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds or community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Whitlock  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Whitlock  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Whitlock  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Whitlock  S Whitloch  S Whitlock  S Whitloch  S Whitlock  S Whitloch  S Whitlock  S Whitloch  S Whitlock  S Whitloch  S Whitlock  S Whitlock  S Whitlock  S Whitlock  S Whitlock  S	IVI SCOLL		Supported
operating the facility. Submission supports the proposal on the grounds or community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility. Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility. S Koncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  T Khan Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.			
S Whitlock  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds o: community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat  S Kopported  S Kopported  S Kopported  S Kopported  S Koncurat  S Kopported  S Kopported  S Kopported  S Kopported  S Koncurat  S Kopported  S Kopported  S Kopported  S Kopported  S Koncurat  S Kopported  S Kopported  S Kopported  S Kopported  S Koncurat  S Kopported  S Kopporte			
the grounds o: community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Brennan  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S Koncurat  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  T Khan  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  M Burns  S L L Lecras  L L Lecras  L L Lecras  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L L Lecras  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson  A Hulford  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini  K Minson  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit.  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit.  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit.  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit.  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit.  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit.  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit.  S L Damission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit.	S Whitlock		Supported
favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat  S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S			
operating the facility. Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  T Khan Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  M Burns Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson J Thompson A Hulford Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit.			
K Brennan Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  S Koncurat S Kopported S Koncurat S Kopported			
enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  T Khan  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras  L Lecras  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of:  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of:  Submission supports the proposal on Supported	K Brennan		Supported
S Koncurat  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  T Khan  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  M Burns  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson  Thompson  A Hulford  A Hulford  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on Supported		the grounds of favouring commercial	
the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  M Burns  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson  Supported		enterprise operating the facility.	
favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  M Burns  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.	S Koncurat		Supported
T Khan  operating the facility. Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras  L Lecras  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini  J Mancini  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.			
T Khan  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson  J Thompson  A Hulford  A Hulford  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini  J Mancini  K Minson  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Supported			
the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Supported			
favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  G Wilson Submission supports the proposal on Supported	I Khan		Supported
operating the facility. Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on Supported			
M Burns Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on Supported  Supported			
the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  L Lecras Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  G Wilson Submission supports the proposal on Supported	M Durne		Cupported
favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Thompson  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on Supported  Supported	IVI BUITIS		Supported
operating the facility.  L Lecras  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on Supported  Supported			
L Lecras  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on Supported  Supported			
the grounds of favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  Submission supports the proposal on Supported  Supported	l Lecras		Supported
enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  G Wilson Submission supports the proposal on Supported  Supported  Supported  Supported  Supported  Supported	2 200,00		Capported
J Thompson  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson  Supported			
the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  A Hulford Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit. G Wilson Submission supports the proposal on Supported Supported Supported	J Thompson		Supported
operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  G Wilson Submission supports the proposal on Supported Supported Supported	'		
A Hulford  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  G Wilson  Submission supports the proposal on Supported  Supported			
the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  G Wilson Supported Supported Supported		operating the facility.	
favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  G Wilson Submission supports the proposal on Supported Supported	A Hulford		Supported
operating the facility.  J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  G Wilson Supported Supported Supported Supported			
J Mancini Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  G Wilson Submission supports the proposal on Supported Supported			
the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  G Wilson Submission supports the proposal on Supported			0()
favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  K Minson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  G Wilson Submission supports the proposal on Supported	J Mancini		Supported
operating the facility.  K Minson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit.  G Wilson Supported Supported Supported			
K Minson Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of: community benefit. G Wilson Submission supports the proposal on Supported Supported			
the grounds of: community benefit. G Wilson Submission supports the proposal on Supported	K Mincon		Cupported
G Wilson Submission supports the proposal on Supported	r wiii15011		Supporteu
	G Wilson		Supported
THE VIVATION OF CONTINUING DECEMENT	3 11110011	the grounds of community benefit,	Sapportou

	favouring commercial enterprise	
I Rennie	operating the facility. Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
	the grounds of community benefit,	Oupported
	favouring commercial enterprise	
D Walker	operating the facility.	Cupported
D Walkel	Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit,	Supported
	favouring commercial enterprise	
	operating the facility.	
E Brown	Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit,	Supported
	favouring commercial enterprise	
	operating the facility.	
G Neal	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
	the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise	
	operating the facility.	
J Simmons	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
	the grounds of community benefit, favours commercial enterprise	
	operating the facility	
R Gazeley	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
	the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise	
	operating the facility.	
R Khan	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
C Noles	the grounds of community benefit.	Our manufact
S Nelson	Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit.	Supported
S Khan	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
	the grounds of community benefit,	
	favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.	
T Coen	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
	the grounds of community benefit,	
	favouring commercial enterprise	
	operating the facility and relieving struggling volunteers.	
H Brooks	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
	the grounds of community benefit,	
	favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.	
A Alone	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
	the grounds of community benefit,	
	favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.	
D Mitchell	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
	the grounds of favouring commercial	
I/ Due also	enterprise operating the facility.	Our manufact
K Brooks	Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community benefit,	Supported
	favouring commercial enterprise	
	operating the facility.	
R Dell	Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of community and visitor	Supported
	benefit, favouring commercial	
	enterprise operating the facility.	
C Gardener	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
	the grounds of community benefit, favouring commercial enterprise	
	operating the facility.	
S Scott	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported

	the grounds of community benefit,	
	favouring commercial enterprise	
	operating the facility.	
T. Giles &	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
Family	the grounds of community benefit,	
	favouring commercial enterprise	
1	operating the facility.	
H Thompson	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
	the grounds of community benefit,	
	favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.	
L Thompson	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
L mompson	the grounds of community benefit,	Supported
	favouring commercial enterprise	
	operating the facility.	
B Hayes	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
1,11	the grounds of community benefit,	
	favouring commercial enterprise	
	operating the facility.	
B Jeffrey	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
	the grounds of community benefit,	
	favouring commercial enterprise	
1	operating the facility.	
L Way	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
	the grounds of community benefit,	
	favouring commercial enterprise	
C Thompson	operating the facility.	Cupported
C Thompson	Submission supports the proposal on the grounds of favouring commercial	Supported
	enterprise operating the facility.	
C Rennie	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
0 11011110	the grounds of community benefit,	Capportoa
	favouring commercial enterprise	
	operating the facility.	
Cervantes	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
Bowling Club	the grounds of community benefit,	
	favouring commercial enterprise	
1	operating the facility.	
M Knowles	Submission objects to the proposal	Objects
	on the following grounds:	
	Commercial lease departs from the	
	Country Club's intended use.	
	Community based model should be pursued further.	
	Potential inaccessibility of the	
	facility to sporting clubs.	
	<ul> <li>The proposed business model will</li> </ul>	
	have a detrimental impact on other	
	businesses in town.	
M Scott	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
	the grounds of community benefit,	
	favouring commercial enterprise	
	operating the facility.	
Cervantes	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
Tennis Club	the grounds of community benefit,	
	favouring commercial enterprise	
N Birch	operating the facility. Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
IN DIIGII	the grounds of community benefit,	ουμμοιτου
	favouring commercial enterprise	
	operating the facility.	
Cervantes	Submission supports the proposal on	Supported
Football Club	the grounds of: community benefit,	''
		•

M Knowles (Molly)	favouring commercial enterprise operating the facility.  • Submission objects to the proposal on the following grounds:  Community based model should be pursued further	Objects
Jurien Ice	<ul> <li>The proposed business model will have a detrimental impact on other businesses in town.</li> <li>Submission objects to the proposal on the following grounds:</li> <li>The proposed business model will have a detrimental impact on other</li> </ul>	Objects
B Knowles	<ul> <li>businesses in town.</li> <li>Community based model should be pursued further.</li> <li>Submission objects to the proposal on the following grounds:</li> <li>The proposed business model will have a detrimental impact on other</li> </ul>	Objects
M Knowles (Megan)	<ul> <li>businesses in town.</li> <li>Community based model should be pursued further.</li> <li>Submission objects to the proposal on the following grounds: Commercial lease departs from the Country Club's intended use.</li> </ul>	Objects
R Knowles	<ul> <li>Community based model should be pursued further.</li> <li>Potential inaccessibility of the facility to sporting clubs</li> <li>The proposed business model will have a detrimental impact on other businesses in town.</li> <li>Submission objects to the proposal on the following grounds:</li> <li>The proposed business model will have detrimental impact on other businesses in town.</li> </ul>	Objects
	Community based model should be pursued further.	

In accordance with Council's instructions, a draft lease has been developed in consultation with the respondent, "The Club Cervantes Pty Ltd". A number of minor amendments to the proposed commercial lease terms were requested by the respondent however the "proposed use" warrants further Council consideration and action. The original proposed use was for "Community and Sporting Club and uses ancillary thereto" which had alignment with the current use, theme of the facility and ongoing interface of the business with the local sporting clubs, particularly bowls and golf. This use was reviewed by Council's solicitors and it is recommended that this be amended to Community and Sporting Club, Bar, Restaurant which is a more descriptive fit of the proposal.

The current Vesting / Management Order specifies the purpose of the use of the reserve as "Club and Club Premises'. It is

recommended that the CEO be authorised to seek an expansion of the prescribed uses to include Bar / Restaurant with the Minister for Lands (if required by the Minister). In-principal support for the lease has recently been received from the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage and final approval will be addressed when the executed lease is lodged with the Minister's office.

As the nature of the new proposed use differs from the current predominantly 'club' use, the proponents will be required to submit a development application, seeking approval to also permit a 'tavern' use in terms of Local Planning Scheme No.7.

In making its determination on this matter, if Council does not want to proceed with leasing the Cervantes Country Club to the proposed lessee, the following alternate motion is supplied:

#### That Council:

- 1. After considering public submissions in accordance with Section 3.58(3) of the Local Government Act 1995, advises the "The Club Cervantes Pty Ltd" that it is not proceeding with the disposal of land via lease on the material terms presented by the respondent.
- 2. Request the CEO to consult with the Cervantes Country Club Inc. to determine alternative options for the entity's sustainability and ongoing operations of the clubhouse facility.

In addition, it is acknowledged that consideration of this lease proposal is being undertaken during a Council election cycle where it is expected that there will be a significant change to Council's composition following the October elections. While the Shire of Dandaragan does not have a caretaker policy, and all past stages of this matter have been undertaken under the current Council, should Council prefer to allow the new Council to resolve the matter, the following alternate motion is supplied:

That Council defer consideration of public submissions and determination of the lease proposal until after the October 2023 Council elections are completed.

The Officer's Recommendation 2 and 3 have been included to facilitate the annual allocation of lease fees towards community infrastructure projects in Cervantes. A reserve was created with this purpose following the sale of Council's industrial lot on 11 Gazeley Way. A slight amendment to the reserve's purpose is proposed to remove this specific reference and outline the expenditure purpose better as follows:

"to be used for the construction, renewal, major maintenance and improvements to community infrastructure assets in the town of Cervantes".

#### CONSULTATION

Public consultation is as detailed within this agenda item.

#### STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Local Government Act 1995 S3.58 – Disposing of Property Local Government Act 1995 S6.11 – Reserve Accounts

#### 3.58. Disposing of property

- (1) In this section —
- **dispose** includes to sell, lease, or otherwise dispose of, whether absolutely or not;
- **property** includes the whole or any part of the interest of a local government in property, but does not include money.
- (2) Except as stated in this section, a local government can only dispose of property to
  - (a) the highest bidder at public auction; or
  - (b) the person who at public tender called by the local government makes what is, in the opinion of the local government, the most acceptable tender, whether or not it is the highest tender.
- (3) A local government can dispose of property other than under subsection (2) if, before agreeing to dispose of the property
  - (a) it gives local public notice of the proposed disposition
    - (i) describing the property concerned; and
    - (ii) giving details of the proposed disposition; and
    - (iii) inviting submissions to be made to the local government before a date to be specified in the notice, being a date not less than 2 weeks after the notice is first given;

and

- (b) it considers any submissions made to it before the date specified in the notice and, if its decision is made by the council or a committee, the decision and the reasons for it are recorded in the minutes of the meeting at which the decision was made.
- (4) The details of a proposed disposition that are required by subsection (3)(a)(ii) include
  - (a) the names of all other parties concerned; and
  - (b) the consideration to be received by the local government for the disposition; and
  - (c) the market value of the disposition
    - (i) as ascertained by a valuation carried out not more than 6 months before the proposed disposition; or
    - (ii) as declared by a resolution of the local government on the basis of a valuation carried out more than 6 months before the proposed disposition that the local government believes to be a true indication of the value at the time of the proposed disposition.

- (5) This section does not apply to —
- (a) a disposition of an interest in land under the Land Administration Act 1997 section 189 or 190; or
- (b) a disposition of property in the course of carrying on a trading undertaking as defined in section 3.59; or
- (c) anything that the local government provides to a particular person, for a fee or otherwise, in the performance of a function that it has under any written law; or
- (d) any other disposition that is excluded by regulations from the application of this section.

#### 6.11. Reserve accounts

- (1) Subject to subsection (5), where a local government wishes to set aside money for use for a purpose in a future financial year, it is to establish and maintain a reserve account for each such purpose.
  - (2) Subject to subsection (3), before a local government —
  - (a) changes\* the purpose of a reserve account; or
- (b) uses\* the money in a reserve account for another purpose,

it must give one month's local public notice of the proposed change of purpose or proposed use.

\* Absolute majority required.

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications relevant to this item.

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The annual lease value nominated in the Expression of Interest is \$50,000 ex GST. A market valuation for the lease was obtained from an independent valuer which returned a range of \$30,000 - \$50,000 based on the current business fundamentals, property condition, economic conditions and market comparables. The midpoint of the valuation range was used for the assessed market valuation for advertising purposes.

#### STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Strategic Community Plan - Envision 2029

01 - Infrastructure	The Shire will work cooperatively with private enterprise and government agencies to develop and maintain a dynamic infrastructure network responsive to usage demand that attracts and retains residents and businesses.
Priority Outcomes	Our Role
Our communities contain vibrant, activated public open space and buildings with high levels of utilisation	To manage and facilitate community assets that are flexible, vibrant adaptable and enjoyable places to

and functionality.	occupy employing the principals of placemaking and design thinking.
Our investments in public assets are based on responsible and sustainable asset custodianship	Increase activation of our public open space and buildings rather than increasing quantity without a demonstrated need.

#### ATTACHMENTS

Circulated with the agenda is the following item relevant to this report:

- Public submissions in support (Doc id: SODR-129784381-1000)
- Public submissions in objection (Doc id: SODR-129784381-1001)
- Draft Lease (Confidential) (Marked 9.4.1)

#### **VOTING REQUIREMENT**

Simple Majority

Absolute Majority – Officer Recommendation 3

#### **OFFICER RECOMMENDATION 1**

#### That Council:

- 1. After considering public submissions in accordance with Section 3.58(3) of the Local Government Act 1995, authorise disposal property the of and approve the proposed lease to "The Club Cervantes Pty Ltd" inclusive of the following material terms:
  - a. Land / Premises: The Cervantes Country Club located on Reserve 32133, 14 Aragon Street, Cervantes.
  - b. Initial Term: 5 Years.
  - c. Further Term Options: 3 further terms of 5 Years.
  - d. Rent: \$50,000 p.a. excluding GST.
  - e. Rent Review: CPI with Market Rent Review every 3 years.
  - f. Proposed Business Use: Community and Sporting Club, Bar, Restaurant and uses ancillary thereto.
- 2. Authorise the Chief Executive Officer to finalise lease negotiations which will include determining the commencement date of the lease and executing the deed of surrender with the Cervantes Country Club Inc.
- 3. Authorise the Chief Executive Officer to submit the lease to the Minister for Lands for final approval.
- 4. Authorise the Chief Executive Officer to request the Minster for Lands to approve the addition of Bar, Restaurant to the approved purposes of the reserve.

#### **OFFICER RECOMMENDATION 2**

#### That Council:

- 1. Acknowledge that the Cervantes Country Club building and all associated amenities have been built and managed by the Cervantes community;
- 2. Endorses an annual budget provision for the allocation of the lease fees received from the lease of the Cervantes

Country Club to the Cervantes Community Infrastructure Reserve.

#### **OFFICER RECOMMENDATION 3**

#### That Council:

- 1. Resolves to amend the purpose of the Cervantes Community Infrastructure Reserve to:
  - a. "to be used for the construction, renewal, major maintenance and improvements to community infrastructure assets in the town of Cervantes".
- 2. Authorise the Chief Executive Officer to undertake the associated public notice requirements in accordance with Section 6.11(2) of the Local Government Act 1995.

#### **6 CLOSURE OF MEETING**